

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No.143717) (*admitted pro hac vice*)
Ira D. Kharasch (CA Bar No. 109084) (*admitted pro hac vice*)
John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 2405397) (*admitted pro hac vice*)
Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992) (*admitted pro hac vice*)
Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569) (*admitted pro hac vice*)
10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: (310) 277-6910
Facsimile: (310) 201-0760

HAYWARD PLLC
Melissa S. Hayward
Texas Bar No. 24044908
MHayward@HaywardFirm.com
Zachery Z. Annable
Texas Bar No. 24053075
ZAnnable@HaywardFirm.com
10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106
Dallas, Texas 75231
Tel: (972) 755-7100
Fax: (972) 755-7110

Counsel for Highland Capital Management, L.P.

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION**

In re:

HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.¹

Debtor.

HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT FUND
ADVISORS, L.P.

Defendant.

§ Chapter 11
§ Case No. 19-34054-s gj11

§
§ Adversary Proceeding No.
§ 21-03004

¹ The Debtor's last four digits of its taxpayer identification number are (6725). The headquarters and service address for the above-captioned Debtor is 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, TX 75201.

PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF SERVICE OF A SUBPOENA TO DENNIS C. SAUTER, JR.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, made applicable herein by Rule 9016 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, Plaintiff Highland Capital Management, L.P., by and through its undersigned counsel, caused a *Subpoena to Appear and Testify at a Deposition in a Bankruptcy Case (or Adversary Proceeding)*, a copy of which is attached as **Exhibit A**, to be served upon Frank Waterhouse for the production of documents and a virtual appearance at a deposition on **October 21, 2021** commencing at 9:30 a.m. Central Time or at such other day and time as the Plaintiff may agree in writing. The deposition will be taken under oath before a notary public or other person authorized by law to administer oaths and will be visually recorded by video or otherwise.

The deposition will be taken remotely via an online platform due to the coronavirus pandemic such that no one will need to be in the same location as anyone else in order to participate in the deposition and by use of Interactive Realtime. Parties who wish to participate in the deposition should contact **John A. Morris**, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP, at jmorris@pszjlaw.com **no fewer than 72 hours before the start of the deposition** for more information regarding participating in this deposition remotely.

Dated: October 4, 2021.

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

/s/ John A. Morris

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No.143717)

Ira D. Kharasch (CA Bar No. 109084)

John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 2405397)

Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992)

10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90067

Telephone: (310) 277-6910

Faxsimile: (310) 201-0760

E-mail: jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com

ikharasch@pszjlaw.com

jmorris@pszjlaw.com

gdemo@pszjlaw.com

-and-

HAYWARD PLLC

Melissa S. Hayward
Texas Bar No. 24044908
MHayward@HaywardFirm.com
Zachery Z. Annable
Texas Bar No. 24053075
ZAnnable@HaywardFirm.com
10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106
Dallas, Texas 75231
Tel: (972) 755-7100
Fax: (972) 755-7110

Counsel for Highland Capital Management, L.P.

EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
NORTHERN District of TEXAS

In re HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.
Debtor

Case No. 19-34054-sgj11

(Complete if issued in an adversary proceeding)

Chapter 11

Highland Capital Management, L.P.,
Plaintiff

Adv. Proc. No. 21-3004

Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P.,

Defendant

**SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY AT A DEPOSITION
IN A BANKRUPTCY CASE (OR ADVERSARY PROCEEDING)**

To: **Dennis C. Sauter, Jr., c/o, Davor Rukavina, Munsch, Hardt, Kopf & Harr, P.C., 500 N. Akard Street, Suite 3800,
Dallas, TX 75201**

(Name of person to whom the subpoena is directed)

Testimony: YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the time, date, and place set forth below to testify at a deposition to be taken in this bankruptcy case (or adversary proceeding). If you are an organization, you must designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or designate other persons who consent to testify on your behalf about the following matters: the claims and affirmative defenses asserted in the above-referenced adversary proceedings..

PLACE	DATE AND TIME
Deposition will be conducted virtually through Zoom	October 21, 2021 at 10:00 a.m. (CT)

The deposition will take place before a court reporter and will be recorded by stenographic means, may be videotaped, and shall continue from day to day until it has been completed.

Production: YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and permit inspection and copying of the following documents or object at the place, date and time specified below: See **Exhibit A**, October 18, 2021 by 2 p.m. (CT)

The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45, made applicable in bankruptcy cases by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9016, are attached – Rule 45(c), relating to the place of compliance; Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena; and Rule 45(e) and 45(g), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so.

Date: October 4, 2021

CLERK OF COURT

OR

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

/s/ John A. Morris

Attorney's signature

John A. Morris, Esq.

The name, address, email address, and telephone number of the attorney representing (*name of party*) Highland Capital Management, L.P., who issues or requests this subpoena, are:

John A. Morris, Esq., Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP, 780 Third Ave., 34th Fl, New York, NY 10017

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or the inspection of premises before trial, a notice and a copy of this subpoena must be served on each party before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

I received this subpoena for (*name of individual and title, if any*): _____
on (*date*) _____.

I served the subpoena by delivering a copy to the named person as follows: _____

Davor Rukavina, Munsch, Hardt,, Kopf & Harr, P.C., 500 N. Akard Street, Suite 3800, Dallas, TX 75201

_____ on (*date*) _____; or

I returned the subpoena unexecuted because: _____

Unless the subpoena was issued on behalf of the United States, or one of its officers or agents, I have also tendered to the witness the fees for one day's attendance, and the mileage allowed by law, in the amount of \$ _____.

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information concerning attempted service, etc.:

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45(c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)
(made applicable in bankruptcy cases by Rule 9016, Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure)

(c) Place of compliance.

(1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:

- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- (B) within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
 - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
 - (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

(2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

- (A) production of documents, or electronically stored information, or things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and
- (B) inspection of premises, at the premises to be inspected.

(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction — which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees — on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

(A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.

(B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises — or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:

(i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.

(ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

(A) When Required. On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:

- (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
- (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:

- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

(ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.

(C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
- (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

(1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:

(A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.

(B) Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.

(C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

(A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:

- (i) expressly make the claim; and

(ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.

(B) Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

...

(g) Contempt. The court for the district where compliance is required — and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court — may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

For access to subpoena materials, see Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a) Committee Note (2013)



EXHIBIT A

INSTRUCTIONS

1. For each Document (as defined below) withheld by reason of a claim of privilege, provide a privilege log identifying such Document together with: (a) the date of the Document; (b) the identity of the author or preparer; (c) the identity of each person who was sent or furnished with the Document or who received or had possession or custody of the document; (d) a description of the Document, including identification of any attachments or appendices; (e) a statement of the basis of the claim of privilege; and (f) the paragraph of this request to which the document is responsive. In the case of Documents concerning a meeting or conversation, identify all participants in the meeting or conversation.

2. Each Document shall be produced in a fashion that indicates clearly the file in which it was located.

3. If a Document cannot be produced in full, produce it to the extent possible, identify the portion that cannot be produced, and specify the reasons for Your (as defined below) inability to produce the remainder.

4. You are required to produce ESI (as defined below) in searchable form on DVDs, CD-ROMs or other media to be mutually agreed by the parties.

5. Documents may be produced in paper format or electronically. If Documents are produced electronically, or if any ESI is produced, the following formatting should be used:

- Use .tif format for all Documents that were not originally in Excel format, in which case, use .xls or .xlsx format;

- If possible, without creating undue delay, please produce Documents in Summation-ready DVDs, CD-ROMs or other media to be mutually agreed by the parties with .tiff and text format, and with a Summation load file; and
- Transmit electronic Documents or ESI on DVDs, CD-ROMs or other media to be mutually agreed by the parties or use an ftp site upload.

6. These Requests shall be deemed continuing and supplemental answers shall be required if You directly or indirectly obtain further information after Your initial response to these Requests as required by Fed. R. Bank. P. 7026(e).

7. The use of either the singular or plural shall not be deemed a limitation. The use of the singular includes the plural, and vice versa.

8. Unless otherwise noted, the requests for documents set forth herein seeks Documents and Communications created between **January 1, 2018 and the date of Your responses** to these Requests.

9. Be advised that pursuant to agreed-upon Stipulations that have been approved by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, the documents produced and the testimony provided in response to this subpoena can be utilized in any of the Adversary Proceedings (as that terms is defined below). *See, e.g.,* Adv. Pro. 21-03004, Docket No. 68

DEFINITIONS

1. “Adversary Proceeding” refers to Adversary Proceeding No. 21-03004 pending in the above-referenced bankruptcy case.

2. “Alleged Agreement” refers to the alleged oral agreement between James Dondero and “Nancy Dondero, as representative for a majority of the Class A shareholders of

Plaintiff,” described in, among other places, paragraph 82 of *Defendant James Dondero’s Answer to Amended Complaint* lodged in Adv. Pro. 21-03003 at Docket No. 83.

3. “Amended Answer” means *Defendant’s Amended Answer* lodged in the Adversary Proceeding at Docket No. 48.

4. “Complaints” refers to, individually and collectively, the Complaints, as may have been amended, lodged as follows in the Adversary Proceedings: Adv. Pro. 21-03003, Docket No. 79; Adv. Pro. 21-03004; Docket No. 1; Adv. Pro. 21-03005, Docket No. 63; Adv. Pro. 21-03006, Docket No. 68; and Adv. Pro. 21-03007, Docket No. 63.

5. “Communications” means the transmittal of information (in the form of facts, ideas, inquiries, or otherwise) and includes all oral and written communications of any nature, type or kind including, but not limited to, any ESI (and any attachments thereto), Documents, telephone conversations, text messages, discussions, meetings, facsimiles, e-mails, pagers, memoranda, and any other medium through which any information is conveyed or transmitted.

6. “Concerning” means and includes relating to, constituting, defining, evidencing, mentioning, containing, describing, discussing, embodying, reflecting, edifying, analyzing, stating, referring to, dealing with, or in any way pertaining to the subject matter.

7. “Document” means and includes all written, recorded, transcribed or graphic matter of every nature, type and kind, however and by whoever produced, reproduced, disseminated or made. This includes, but is not limited to, Communications, ESI, “writings” as defined by Rule 1001 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, copies or drafts, and any tangible or intangible thing or item that contains any information. Any Document that contains any comment,

notation, addition, insertion or marking of any type or kind which is not part of another Document, is to be considered a separate Document.

8. “Notes” means, individually and collectively, the promissory notes that are the subject of the claims asserted in the Adversary Proceeding.

9. “Person” is intended to have the broadest possible meaning and includes an individual, corporation, trust, limited liability company, limited partnership, limited liability partnership, partnership or any other foreign or domestic entity not specifically identified herein.

10. “Sauter Declaration” means the *Declaration of Dennis C. Sauter, Jr.* lodged in the Adversary Proceeding at Docket No. 32-1.

11. “You” or “Your” means Frank Waterhouse and anyone authorized to act on Mr. Waterhouse’s behalf.

DOCUMENT REQUESTS

Request No. 1:

All Documents Concerning any of the Notes.

Request No. 2:

All Communications between You and any other Person Concerning any of the Notes.

Request No. 3:

All Documents Concerning any Affirmative Defense asserted by HCMFA in its Amended Answer.

Request No. 4:

All Communications Concerning any Affirmative Defense asserted by HCMFA in its Amended Answer.

Request No. 5:

To the extent not responsive to any prior request, all Documents Concerning the Alleged Agreement.

Request No. 6:

To the extent not responsive to any prior request, all Communications Concerning the Alleged Agreement.

Request No. 7:

All Documents and Communications Concerning the Sauter Declaration, including but not limited to all Documents reviewed by You before You executed the Sauter Declaration.

Request No. 8:

All agreements between You and any entity you know or have reason to believe is directly or indirectly owned and/or controlled by James Dondero and/or Scott Ellington, including but not limited to indemnification agreements.